FLOWERING AND ABSCISSION ATTRIBUTES AND PRODUCTIVITY OF SOME FABA BEAN CULTIVARS

M.E. Ibrahim⁽¹⁾, O.A.M. Ali⁽¹⁾, A. A. Ragab⁽²⁾ and Fatma A. Soliman⁽²⁾

(1) Crop Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University, Egypt.

(2) Central Laboratory of Organic Agriculture, ARC, Egypt.

(Received: Nov. 25, 2013)

ABSTRACT: Flowers and pods abscission of faba bean cultivars is one of the serious problems causing a reduction of faba bean yield. Two field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm, Sers El-Laian Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt, to investigate the effect of organic and biofertilization systems with compost and/or biofertilizers on production and abscission of flowers and pods/ plant and yield of three faba bean (Vicia faba L.) cultivars (Giza 3, Giza 716 and Nubaria 1) during the successive winter seasons of 2009/2010 and 2010/2011. The obtained results could be summarized as follows:

- 1- Giza 716 cultivar produced the highest number of flowers/plant, while Nubaria 1 cultivar produced the lowest one in both seasons. However, Giza 3 cultivar surpassed the other tested cultivars in number of setting pods/plant and produced the lowest percentages of flowers, setting pods and total abscissions per plant than other cultivars in both seasons. Also, data showed that Giza 3 cultivar produced the highest number of pods/plant and seed yield per plant and fed, while Nubaria 1 surpassed the other cultivars in number of seeds/pod, 100-seed weight and straw and biological yields/fed in both seasons.
- 2- The highest numbers of flowers and setting pods/plant and the lowest abscission percentages were obtained by the application of 6 ton compost + bio followed by 4 ton compost + bio treatment in both seasons. Moreover, compost and biofertilization application especially at the highest rate caused a significant increase in all yield and its components characters compared to unfertilized plants.
- 3- The interaction between cultivars and fertilization systems were found to be significant for all characters under study. The data showed that the highest values of numbers of flowers and setting pods/plant were obtained from fertilized Giza 3 cultivar with 6 ton compost + bio, while the lowest ones obtained from unfertilized Nubaria 1 plants. On the other hand, the lowest values of abscission were recorded mostly when fertilized Giza 3 plants with 4 ton compost + bio or 6 ton compost + bio. Also, fertilized Giza 3 plants with 6 ton compost + bio surpassed the other treatments in increasing the number of pods/plant and seed yield per plant and fed. However, fertilized Nubaria 1 plants with 6 ton compost + bio produced the highest values of number of seeds/pod, 100- seed weight, seed weight/pod and straw and biological yields/fed.
- 4- It could be concluded that fertilizing Giza 3 cultivar with 6 ton compost + bio in the first season and 4 ton compost + bio in the second one were the most effective treatments for reducing abscission problems and maximizing the seed yield of faba bean.

Key words: Faba bean, Cultivars, Compost, Biofertilizers, Flowers, Abscission, Yield.

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) belongs to the family Fabaceae, is a crop with several benefits. It is important for soil fertility, human and animal feeding which is considered as the rich source of low cost protein and carbohydrate (Daur *et al.*, 2008). In Egypt, the cultivated area of faba bean

was approximately 200,000 fed with an average productivity of 1.33 ton /fed. However, the yield of faba bean is low in spite of its numerous advantages. Faba bean production in Egypt is still limited and fails to face the local increasing consumption of the crop. To satisfy the national requirement of faba bean, either the area

could be increased or the high yielding cultivars should be grown as recorded by Ali (2005), Attia and Mohamed (2007) and Abdelhamid *et al.* (2010). The increase in yield could be the more effective way by improved cultivars and applying recommended fertilization systems.

Plant nutrients are essential for plant development and yield. Application of organic and biofertilization is very important for faba bean because it supplies plants with a part of nutrients requirements, saves a great amount of mineral fertilizers and reduces environmental pollution production costs. The extensive use of chemical led to increase both production cost and environmental pollution leading to many health hazard problems. Composting is the most important methods for increasing agricultural output by raising the level of soil fertility, due to (a) long term improvement of structural stability, (b) moisture retention and (c) the supply of plant nutrients. Also, Faba bean seed treated with microbial inoculates such as N₂-fixing bacteria could supply the plants with a part of nitrogen required during different growth stages and increase seed yield and its components as well as seed protein content. Phosphate dissolving bacteria is considered as a biological fertilizer which had an important role in the Potassium solubility of phosphorus. mobilizing bacteria is capable of mobilizing potassium in accessible form in soils. Organic and biofertilizers are the most advanced biotechnology to improve the growth, nodulation and yield (El-Brollosy et al., 2002; Ahmed et al., 2004; Rizk et al., 2006 and Abou-Zaid, 2012).

Flowers and setting pods abscission of faba bean cultivars is one of the serious problems causing a reduction of faba bean yield. Therefore, plant physiologists and breeders were studying intensively the problem of abscission, in order to find a solution for reducing the high abscission percentages (Ali, 2005). Yield is a complex character determined by several variables that affect plant development through growth stages. Hence, it is essential to detect the characters having the great influence on yield and their relative contribution to

variation of yield cultivars. The capability of efficient partitioning between the vegetative and reproductive parts may produce high economic yield (Maola, 2005). Flowers and pods abscission is also a great barrier in high yield potentials.

Therefore, the aim of this investigation was conducted to study the effect of organic enrichment on flowering and abscission attributes and yield and its components of some faba bean cultivars under organic agriculture rules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Experimental site and treatments:

Two field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm, Sers El-Laian Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt, to investigate the effect of organic enrichment with compost and/or biofertilizers on flowering and abscission attributes and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars during the successive winter seasons of 2009/2010 and 2010/2011. The experiment included eighteen treatments in each growing season which were the combination of three cultivars and six fertilization systems which are as follows:

A- Cultivars.

- 1- Giza 3
- 2- Giza 716
- 3- Nubaria 1

B- Fertilization systems.

- 1- Unfertilized (Control).
- 2- Biofertilizers.
- 3- Compost at a rate of 4 ton/fed.
- 4- Compost at a rate of 6 ton/fed.
- 5- Compost at a rate of 4 ton/fed + biofertilizers.
- 6- Compost at a rate of 6 ton/fed + biofertilizers.

Mixture of microorganisms were used as biofertilizers treatment which are:

- (a) Azotobacter chroococcum, Azospirillum brasilense and Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar viciae as N₂-fixing bacteria.
- (b) Bacillus megaterium var. phosphaticum as phosphate dissolving bacteria.
- (c) Bacillus circulans as potassium release bacteria.

The Treatments were arranged at random in a split plot design with three replications. The main plots were allocated for cultivars. However, the sub-plots were devoted for the fertilization systems.

The tested cultivars seeds were obtained from the Legumes Crops Research Section, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC, Egypt. Compost used in this study was obtained from El-Khalil Factory for Compost at El-Khtatba province, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt.

Crop management under organic agriculture rules

The experimental field was ploughed and pulverized after harvesting maize to preparation the seed bed. Then, the soil was ridged into rows 60 cm width and divided plots. Each experimental plot including five rows, each row 3.5 m long. The plot area was 10.5 m². Seeds were sown on 1st and 5th November in first and second seasons, respectively. Twenty days after sowing, plants were thinned to two plants /hill on one side of the ridge and one plant/hill on the other side of the ridge with 20 cm between hills to produce 105000 plants/fed. The experiment in the second season was repeated in the same field plots of first season to observation the residual effect of compost and biofertilizers application.

In all experimental plots, faba bean seeds were treated with Clean Root contains $Bacillus\ subtilis\ (30\times10^6\ c.f.u.\ /1\ g.)$ Faba bean seeds were wetted using 5% sucrose solution and mixed with Clean Root at a rate of 10 g./1kg of seeds. Also, In the tested biofertilizer treatments only the seeds were inoculated with microorganisms mixed at a rate of 40 g. of inocula / kg seeds. Bacterial preparations used were obtained from Central Laboratory of Organic Agriculture, A.R.C., Giza, Egypt. Treated seeds were left to dry in shadow then sowed directly.

To protect all plants against sucking insects (aphids and white fly), all experimental plots were treated with Anti-

insect produced by C.L.O.A as a biocide contains *Beauveria bassiana*. Anti-insect was used at the rate of 1 liter/200 liter of water. The treatment was sprayed three times, the first one was 35 days after sowing and repeated every 15 days.

To avoid any interference of any other non target factors all plants were treated against fungal diseases. Treatments were carried out using the biological preparation (Blight stop) contains *Trichoderma harzianum* (30×10⁶ c.f.u/ml) produced by C.L.O.A. The Blight stop was used at the rate of 1liter/200 liter of water. The treatment was sprayed at 50 days after sowing and repeated every 15 day till end flowering stage.

All cultural practices, other than treatment variables, were performed as recommended for the area according to organic agriculture rules.

Soil and compost analysis

Soil samples were randomly taken from the experimental field before planting at 30 cm depth. The mechanical and chemical analysis of the soil according to Jackson (1973) and Page *et al.* (1982) are presented in Table (1). Chemical and microbial analyses of the mature compost used in experiments are presented in Table (2).

Plant measurements:

1- Flowering and abscission attributes

Since commence of flowering, six guarded plants of three successive hills were marked at random in each experimental plot. Number of flowers and setting pods were measured during the flowering period of 2 days intervals beginning the blooming of the first flower until the end of flowering period. The following characters were recorded:

- 1- Number of flowers/plant.
- 2- Number of setting pods (young pods)/plant.

Table (1): Some mechanical and chemical properties of the experimental soil.

	haracters	Seasons		
	naracters	2009/2010	2010/2011	
Total CaCO ₃ (%)		2.20	1.61	
Organic matter (%)		1.31	1.42	
pH (1:2.5)		7.50	7.10	
EC (dS m ⁻¹)		1.41	1.38	
	Fine sand	53.2	52.7	
Particle size	Coarse sand	1.8	2.6	
distribution (%)	Silt	26.0	25.3	
, ,	Clay	19.0	19.4	
Texture class	<u>. </u>	Sandy loam	Sandy loam	
	Ca ⁺⁺	8.04	8.22	
Cations (meq 100g ⁻¹)	Mg ⁺⁺	5.26	5.30	
	Na ⁺	0.61	0.20	
	K ⁺	0.19	0.16	
Aniono	Cl	6.00	4.30	
Anions (meq 100g ⁻¹)	HCO ₃	1.60	1.20	
	SO ₄	6.50	8.38	
Available nutrients	N	0.23	0.28	
	Р	0.25	0.30	
(%)	K	0.51	0.62	

Table (2): Chemical and microbial analyses of the mature compost used.

Characters	Value		
Color	Dark brown		
Bulk density (kg m ⁻³)	600		
Moisture content (%)	27.0		
Water holding compacting (%)	250		
pH (1:10 suspension)	7.15		
EC (1:10 suspension)	5.10		
O.M (%)	37.4		
O.C (%)	21.1		
Ash (%)	65.2		
Total N (%)	1.30		
Total P (%)	0.87		
Total K (%)	0.95		
C / N ratio	16:1		
Available N (NH ₄ ⁺) (mg kg ⁻¹)	290		
Available N (NO ₃) (mg kg ⁻¹)	330		
Available P (mg kg ⁻¹)	215		
Available K (mg kg ⁻¹)	380		
DTPA – extractable (Fe) (mg kg ⁻¹)	270		
DTPA – extractable (Mn) (mg kg ⁻¹)	90		
DTPA – extractable (Zn) (mg kg ⁻¹)	70		
DTPA – extractable (Cu) (mg kg ⁻¹)	35		
Nematode	NIL		
Total E. coli	NIL		
Weed Seed	NIL		

- 3- Flowers abscission /plant (%) = [(Number of flowers /plant- Number of setting pods /plant)/ Number of flowers /plant] x 100
- 4- Setting pods abscission /plant (%) =
 [(Number of setting pods /plant- Number of pods at harvest /plant)/ Number of setting pods /plant] x 100
- 5- Total abscission /plant (%) =
 [(Number of flowers /plant- Number of pods at harvest/plant)/ Number of flowers /plant| x 100

2- Yield and its components:

At harvest, six guarded plants were taken at random from each experimental plot to estimate individual plant characters. However, seed, straw and biological yields of the middle area (4 m²) of plot were estimated and converted to ton/fed. The following characters were recorded:

- 1- Number of pods/plant.
- 2- Number of seeds/pod.
- 3- 100-seed weight (g).
- 4- Seed yield /plant (g).
- 5- Seed yield / fed (ton).
- 6- Straw vield /fed (ton).
- 7- Biological yield/ fed (ton).

Statistical analysis:

All measurements data during the two seasons in this study were analyzed according the methods described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The differences among the means of different treatments were tested using the Least Significant Differences (LSD) at probability 5%. Statistical analysis was done using the CoStat package program, version 6.311 (cohort software, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flowering and abscission attributes:

1. A- Effect of cultivars differences

The differences among the three tested faba bean cultivars in their total flowers and setting pods numbers and abscission percentages are shown in Table (3). Flowering and abscission characters show high variation in faba bean plants which is dependent on the genetic traits of cultivars.

Giza 716 cultivar produced the highest number of flowers/plant in both seasons. This increase resulting from Giza 716 cultivar amounted to 33.15 and 37.14 % more than Nubaria 1 cultivar in the first and second seasons, respectively. Moreover, it could be noticed that Giza 3 cultivar had the greatest number of setting pods/plant followed by Giza 716 in both seasons. On the other hand, Nubaria 1 cultivar recorded the lowest mean values of flowers and setting pods numbers in both seasons.

Concerning abscission, Giza 3 gave lower percentages of flowers, setting pods and total abscission than Nubaria 1 and Giza 716 cultivars in a descending order in both seasons. As an average of the two seasons, the decrease in the total abscission /plant resulting from Giza 3 cultivar amounted to 4.18 and 5.83% less than Nubaria 1 and Giza 716 cultivars, respectively. These results may be due to the differences in the amounts of hormones among the tested cultivars. Physiological mechanisms of flowers and pods abscission are hormonally which might involved in changing flowering pattern and abscission. In this connection, Ibrahim et al. (1995) found that total abscission percentage was much higher in faba bean cultivar Giza 402 than that in Giza 461 by at least 8% as a result to a lower level of IAA at abscission period. Similar results were obtained by Shams et al. (2001), Abdul Galil et al. (2003), Ali (2005) and Kandil et al. (2011) who found variation between faba bean cultivars in the numbers of flowers and setting pods/plant and flower, setting pods and total abscission percentages.

1. B- Effect of compost and biofertilization systems

The data in Table (3) demonstrate that total number of flowers and setting pods/plant were increased by application of the tested compost and biofertilizers either separately or mixed as compared with unfertilized plants in the two seasons. The data show that the highest increase in the number of flowers and setting pods/plant were recorded by the application of 6 ton compost + bio followed by 4 ton compost +

Table 3

bio without significant differences among them in both seasons for flowers number/plant. On the other hand, the lowest values of both traits were recorded by the unfertilized plants. In this respect, many researchers found that numbers of flowers and setting pods/ plant were increased by application of organic fertilizer (El- Shikha and Gaafar, 2006) and biofertilizers (El-Wakeil and El-Sebai, 2007 and Hewedy, 2011).

The data in the same table declare that the differences in percentages of flowers, pods and total abscission were reached the level of significance in the two seasons. Application of compost and biofertilizers either separately or mixed significantly percentages reduced the abscission compared to control. It could be noticed that application of 6 ton compost + bio followed by 4 ton compost + bio produced the lowest different abscission percentages compared to other fertilized and unfertilized plants in both seasons. These results may be due to the fact that, the hormonal balance of plant probably changed with nutritional intensity. Thus, the promoting effect of compost and /or bio on enhancing flower and setting pods production as well as decreasing the abscission of flowers and pods, mainly attributed to that application of compost and/or bio supplying the plants with its necessary nutrients such as N which is a component of IAA which inhibits abscission, and cytokinins which mobilize nutrients to developing pods and thereby help prevent senescence and decrease ABA content in leaves. Also, P and K elements can change the cytokinin level and have a similar positive effect on photohormone content in the plant like N fertilization (Addicott, 1970). These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Shikha and Gaafar (2006).

1.C- Effect of cultivars x fertilization systems

With regard to the interaction between cultivars and fertilization systems for numbers of flowers and setting pods /plant, the data in Table (3) show that the highest values of both traits were obtained from fertilized Giza 3 plants with 6 ton compost +

bio. Also, addition of 4 ton compost + bio was more effective in increasing number of flowers/plant of Giza 716 cultivar. On the other hand, the lowest flowers and setting pods numbers were obtained from the unfertilized Nubaria 1 plants.

Significant differences could be detected between the two factors with regard to the abscission percentages in both seasons. It is obvious that the lowest values of flowers abscission were recorded when fertilized Giza 3 plants with 4 ton compost + bio or 6 ton compost without significant differences between them in both seasons. However, addition of 6 ton compost + bio to Giza 3 effective treatment cultivar was pods decreasing setting abscission percentage compared to the rest treatments. Concerning total abscission (flowers and pods), the lowest values were obtained when fertilized Giza 3 plants with 4 ton compost + bio followed by 6 ton compost + bio in both seasons. On the other side, unfertilized Giza 716 plants gave the highest values of flowers, setting pods and total abscission percentages. These results are fairly true in the two seasons.

2. Yield and its components:

2. A- Effect of cultivars differences

Mean performances of the investigated faba bean cultivars for yield and its components in the two seasons are presented in Table (4). With regard to number of pods/plant, significant differences were recorded among the tested faba bean cultivars in both seasons. Giza 3 was the most superior one and Nubaria 1 cultivar was the most inferior one, while Giza 716 was in between in this respect. This was true in both seasons. The superiority of Giza 3 cultivar in the number of pods/plant could be attributed to a greater number of setting pods production and / or decreases the percentages of flowers, pods and total abscission during flowering and poding period (See Table 3). As an average of the two seasons, Giza 3 produced number of pods per plant amounted to 33.98 and 60.71 % more than Giza 716 and Nubaria 1 cultivars, respectively. In this respect, other researchers found significant variation

among some faba bean cultivars in the number of pods/plant in favors of Giza 402 cultivar (Saad and El-Kholy, 2000), Giza 429 cultivar (Mekky *et al.*, 2003), Giza 3 cultivar (Afifi *et al.*, 2005), Misr 1 cultivar (Ali, 2005), Nubaria 1 cultivar (Bakry *et al.*, 2011) and Giza 716 cultivar (Kandil *et al.*, 2011).

Number of seeds/pod and 100-seed weight were remarkably influenced by cultivars variation in both seasons. It is worth noting that, Nubaria 1 cultivar significantly surpassed Giza 3 and Giza 716 cultivars in this concern. The differences among the tested cultivars might be attributed to the variation among cultivars in their vegetative growth characters and sink capacity. In this respect, other investigators found high variation among some faba bean cultivars in number of seeds/pod (Saad and El-Kholy, 2000 and Kandil *et al.*, 2011) and 100-seed weight (Shams *et al.*, 2001; Afifi *et al.*, 2005 and Ali, 2005).

Significant differences could be detected among the three tested cultivars with regard to seed yield/plant in both seasons (Table 4). It is obvious that Giza 3 gave the highest values followed by Giza 716 and Nubaria 1 cultivars. From the obtained data, Giza 3 cultivar excelled Giza 716 and Nubaria 1 cultivars in seed yield /plant by 16.87 and 38.22 % in the first season and by 17.87 and 33.97 % in the second season, respectively. The superiority of Giza 3 cultivar in seed vield/plant could be attributed to its superiority in total number of pods/plant and decrease the abscission percentages. In this concern, many researchers found superiority of some faba bean cultivars in seed yield/plant such as Giza 429 cultivar (Mekky et al., 2003), Sakha1 (Mohamed and El-Abbas, 2005), Nubaria 1 (Bakry et al., 2011), Giza 716 (Kandil et al., 2011) and Giza 3 (Porass et al., 2011).

Data shown in Table (4) indicate clearly that the three tested cultivars significantly differed in their seed yield/fed in both seasons. Giza 3 cultivar was higher in seed yield than Giza 716 and Nubaria 1. The differences between Giza 716 and Nubaria 1 cultivars were found to be significant in the two seasons. The superiority of Giza 3

cultivar in seed yield/fed could be related to its superiority in number of pods and seed yield/plant. Other investigators found cultivars variation in seed yield/fed in favor of Giza Blanka cultivar (Shams et al., 2001), Sakha 1 (Mohamed and El-Abbas, 2005) Nubaria1 (Bakry et al., 2011) and Giza 3 (Porass et al., 2011).

Straw and biological yields/fed values showed that significant differences were detected among faba bean cultivars in both seasons. Nubaria 1 cultivar had the maximum values of straw and biological yield/fed, while Giza 3 cultivar was the lesser one in this respect. These results hold fairly true in the two seasons. The superiority of Nubaria 1 in straw and biological yields/fed might be due to its higher numbers of branches and leaves during vegetative stage. It means that, the cultivar which having much straw yield at harvest decrease the proportion of dry matter partitioned to seeds at maturity, while increased the dry matter remaining in the temporary sinks (stem and other non seed tissues) at harvest. In this respect, other investigators found cultivars variation in straw and biological yields/fed in favor of Giza Blanka (Saad and El-Kholy, 2000), Giza 461 (Afifi et al., 2005), Nubaria1 and Misr 1 (Ali, 2005) and Nubaria 1 (Bakry et al., 2011).

2. B- Effect of compost and biofertilization systems

Data in Table (4) show that significant difference in the number of pods/ plant was detected due to fertilization systems. The highest significant values of the number of pods per plant were recorded when the plants were fertilized with 6 ton compost+bio followed by 4 ton compost+bio. On the other hand, the unfertilized plants produced the lowest number of pods/ plant. superiority may be attributed to a greater amount of assimilates which contributed to dry matter accumulation and this in turn increased the number of pods/plants as a result of mixed treatment (compost + bio) which increased nutrients uptake and decrease abscission percentages than the individual treatment or unfertilized plants. In this respect, similar results were obtained by

other researchers who found that number of pods/plant was increased by fertilization with biofertilizers (Mohamed, 2000; Mekhemer *et al.*, 2005 and Hewedy, 2011), compost (Abdul Galil *et al.*, 2003 and Mahmoud, 2011) and compost + bio (Mohamed and El-Ganaini, 2003 and Rizk *et al.*, 2006).

Significant differences could be detected among the fertilization systems with regard to number of seeds/pod and 100-seed weight in both seasons (Table 4). It is obvious generally that the application of 6 ton compost+bio treatment produced the best results for two traits, while the unfertilized plants gave the lowest values. It could be suggested that the translocation of photoasesimilate from the vegetative plant tissues to the seeds was much affected by plant nutrition status which leads to the promotion of cell division, build up of storage capacity and attractive power of metabolic to sink tissues (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). In this regard, other researchers reported the importance of fertilization for enhancing number of seeds/pod (Mohamed and El-Ganaini. 2003 and Abdel – Wahab and Said. 2004) and 100-seed weight (Ahmed et al., 2004; Rizk et al., 2006 and Ali, 2010) as compared with unfertilized legume plants.

The data in the same Table show that seed yield/plant was significantly enhanced by the application of all tested fertilization systems as compared with unfertilized plants in the both seasons. It is worthy to mention that the application of 6 ton compost+bio caused greater increase in seed yield per plant than that obtained by the rest fertilization systems. Application of 4 ton compost+bio ranked as a second in this respect. Therefore, the increase in seed yield per plant under fertilization treatments found herein was logic, because of the increase in its components and decrease the abscission percentages as previously discussed. In this regard, Similar results were obtained by other researchers who found that seed yield / plant was increased by bio inoculation (Radwan and Mohamed, 2005 and Hewedy, 2011), compost (Abdul Galil et al., 2008 and Mahmoud, 2011) and mixed compost plus biofertilizer (Mohamed and El-Ganaini, 2003 and Ahmed *et al.*, 2004) compared to unfertilized plants.

The response of seed yield/fed to the tested fertilization systems is shown in Table (4). Significant increase in seed vield/fed was obtained by the application of all tested fertilization treatments more than the control treatment in the two growing seasons. The highest seed yield/fed was recorded when the plants received the mixed 6 ton compost+bio followed by 4 ton compost+bio treatment. As an average of the two seasons, it can be notice that the plants were fertilized with 6 ton compost+bio and 4 ton compost+bio caused an increasing in total seed yield/fed amounted to 45.54 and 36.93 % more than the unfertilized plants, respectively. Similar results were recorded by other researchers who found that seed yield / fed was increased by bio inoculation (Sadek, 2010 and Badawi et al., 2011), compost (Hellal et al., 2009 and Porass et al., 2011) and mixed compost + bio (El-Brollosy et al., 2002; Abdel - Wahab and Said, 2004 and Rizk et al., 2006) compared to unfertilized plants.

Straw and biological yields/fed exhibited positive response to compost biofertilization application in both seasons. The highest values of both traits were obtained by application of 6 ton compost+bio followed by 4 ton compost+bio treatment which differ significantly compared to control which ranked the last. The superiority of mixed treatment (compost + bio) in straw and biological yields/fed might be due to its in improvement the vegetative roles development. The organic fertilizer has appositive impact on nutrients availability for growth and development (Ghanem et al., 2006). Also, inoculation plants with biofertilizers could improve the growth due to their rhizosphere intensification, N₂-fixing, phosphate endogenous hormones dissolving, production; encourage photosynthesis and assimilation and antimicrobial substance production that could be useful against pathogenic microorganisms (Abdelaziz et al., 2007). These results are confirmed by several faba bean investigators who found that straw and biological yields/fed were

enhanced by bio inoculation (Mehana and Abdul Wahid, 2000; Mekhemer *et al.*, 2005 and Radwan and Mohamed, 2005), compost (Hellal *et al.*, 2009 and Gomaa *et al.*, 2010) and mixed compost + bio (Ghanem *et al.*, 2006 and Zaki *et al.*, 2008) compared to unfertilized plants.

2. C- Effect of cultivars x fertilization systems

Concerning number of pods/plant, it is evident from Table (4) that there was a considerable amount of variation among the tested fertilization systems and cultivars in both seasons. It is evident that the application of 6 ton compost + bio to Giza 3 cultivar gave the highest pods number compared to other treatments especially unfertilized Nubaria 1 plants which produced the lowest one in both seasons. These findings are in harmony with those obtained by Abdel – Wahab and Said (2004).

It could be mentioned also from the presented data in the same table that fertilization faba bean cultivars with different compost and/or biofertilizers significantly increased number of seeds/pod and 100seed weight in both seasons. Fertilized Nubaria 1 plants with 6 ton compost + bio found to be the most effective combination producing more above mentioned characters. Meanwhile, unfertilized Giza 3 plants ranked lastly in this respect. These findings are in harmony with those obtained by Abdelhamid et al. (2004) who found that number of seeds/pod was increased by sowing Assiut-8 cultivar under compost compared with fertilization as treatments. However, Abdel - Wahab and Said (2004) indicated that planting Giza Blanka cultivar under compost plus biofertilizer 100-seed weight application increased compared to other treatments.

Data in Table (4) show that seed yield per plant and fed were significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and fertilization systems in both seasons. Giza 3 cultivar produced the highest seed yield when fertilized with 6 ton compost + bio followed by 4 ton compost + bio without significant difference between them in the second season. Applying 4 ton compost +

biofertilizers was enough to satisfy nutrients requirements in the second season, this may be due to the residual nutrients from first season. On the other hand, the lowest seed yield per plant and fed were recorded by unfertilized Nubaria 1 plants. These results are in line with those obtained by Porass *et al.* (2011).

With regard to straw and biological yields /fed, the data in the same table show that application of 6 ton compost+bio to Nubaria 1 plants produced the highest values of the two traits compared to the rest treatments in the two seasons. However, Giza 716 recorded the second rank by applying the previous fertilization same treatment. Meanwhile, Giza 3 plants which did not receive any compost or biofertilization gave the minimum values of both traits. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Mohamed and El-Abbas (2005) and El-Banna et al. (2009).

Conclusion

The results of study showed that with improved nutrition, flowers and production were enhanced while abscission was reduced. Therefore, faba bean grower must be careful about select the cultivar which achieves more flowers and pods production and lowest abscission. It could be concluded that fertilizing Giza 3 cultivar with 6 ton compost + bio in the first season and 4 ton compost + bio in the second one were the most effective treatments for reducing abscission problems and maximizing the seed yield of faba bean.

REFERENCES

Abdelaziz, M., R. Pokluda and M. Abdel Wahab (2007). Influence of compost, microorganisms and NPK fertilizer upon growth, chemical composition and essential oil production of *Rosmarinus officialis* L. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj, 35 (1): 86-90.

Abdelhamid, T. M., M. M. B. Shokr and M. A. Bekheta (2010). Growth, root characteristics, and leaf nutrients accumulation of four faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars differing in their broomrape tolerance and the soil

- properties in relation to salinity. Communications in Soil Sci. and Plant Analysis, 41: 2713-2728.
- Abdelhamid, T. M., T. Horiuchi and S. Oba (2004). Composting of rice straw with oilseed rape cake and poultry manure and its effects on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) growth and soil properties. Bioresource Technology, 93:183-189.
- Abdel Wahab, A.F. and M.S. Said (2004). Response of faba bean to bio and organic fertilization under calcareous soil conditions. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 19 (1):305-320.
- Abdul Galil, A.A., E.M. El-Naggar, H.A. Awaad and T.S. Mokhtar (2003). Response of two faba bean cultivars to different N, P and K levels under sandy soil conditions: 1. nodulation, flowering and shedding attributes. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 30 (5): 1787- 1808.
- Abdul Galil, A.A., S.A. Mowafy, A.E. Omar and T.S. Mokhtar (2008). Nodulation, growth and yield of faba bean as affected by irrigation interval, organic manuring and P and K fertilization levels under sandy soil conditions. Agric. Res. J. Suez Canal Univ., 31-48.
- Abou-Zaid, Farida M.A.I. (2012). Physiological response of some economic plants to microbial compost application. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Sci.(Girls), Al-Azhar Univ, Egypt.
- Addicott, F.T. (1970). Plant hormones in control of abscission. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 45: 485-524.
- Afifi, M.H.M., Manal F. Mohamed and S.H.A. Shaaban (2005). Response of some faba bean varieties to iron, zinc and magnesium foliar application. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 20 (8B): 434 444.
- Ahmed, S.H.M., M.S. Omar and U.S. El-Bialy (2004). Effect of farmyard manure and phosphorein inoculation with P-fertilizer and role of honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) as a pollinator on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) yield on new valley soil. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 29 (4):1005-1027.
- Ali, O.A.M. (2005). Physiological studies on growth, flowering and productivity of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) varieties.

- M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Ali, O.A.M. (2010). Effect of mineral and biofertilization on the growth, yield and chemical composition of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Attia, Sabah M. and Mona A. Mohamed (2007). Determination of yield, potential, physiochemical and technological characteristics of some faba bean genotypes. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 45(3): 989-1003.
- Badawi, F. Sh. F., A.H. Desoky and A.M.M. Biomy (2011). Effect of various bacterial inoculation rates and micronutrients on root nodulation, biological nitrogen fixation and production of some leguminous crops. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 36 (5):1361-1386.
- Bakry, B.A., T.A. Elewa, M.F. El-karamany, M.S. Zeidan and M.M. Tawfik (2011). Effect of row spacing on yield and its components of some faba bean varieties under newly reclaimed sandy soil condition. World J. Agric.Sci., 7(1): 68-72
- Daur, I., H. Sepetoglu, K.B. Marwarth, G. Hassan and I.A. Khan (2008). Effect of different levels of nitrogen on dry matter and grain yield of faba bean. Pak. J. Bot., 40(6): 2453-2459.
- El-Banna, M.N., F.I. Radwan, M.A.A. Nassar and Tahany F.M. El-Shshtawy (2009). Effect of plant density and biofertilization on the productivity and technological traits of some faba bean cultivars (*Vicia faba* L.). J. Adv. Agric. Res. (Fac. Agric. Saba Basha) 14 (1): 47-60.
- El Brollosy, M. A., H. I. El-Kassas, Faten Zakariya, M. M. El-Hady and E. Hoda (2002). Role of soil Bacterization in increasing faba bean yield and protection from heavy metals in garbage compost amended soil. J. Environ. Sci., 4 (3):921-955.
- El-Shikha, S.A. and N.A. Gaafar (2006). Influence of organic and mineral fertilization on photosynthetic pigments flowering, yield components and some nutrients content in faba bean. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 44(4): 1407-1420.
- El-Wakeil, N.E. and T.N. El-Sebai (2007). Role of biofertilizer on faba bean growth,

- yield, and its effect on bean aphid and the associated predators. Res. J. Agric. & Biol. Sci., 3(6):800-807.
- Ghanem, Kh. M., N.N. El-Hefnawy, M.M.F. Abd Allah and A.A. El- Sheshtawy (2006). Effect of solarization, organic and bio-fertilizer on faba bean growth and yield. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 21 (10B):481-494.
- Gomaa, A.M., M.H.M. Afifi, Manal F.Mohamed and C.Y. El- Dewiny (2010). Nodulation, growth parameters and yield quality of faba bean cultivated in a newly reclaimed sandy soil under bio-organic agriculture system. International J. of Academic Res., 2 (5):134-138.
- Hellal, F.A., M. Abd El-Hady and A.A. M. Ragab (2009). Influence of organic amendments on nutrient availability and uptake by faba bean plants fertilized by rock phosphate and feldspar. American-Eurasian J. Agric. And Environ. Sci., 6(3):271-279.
- Hewedy, Maha A. (2011). Associative effect of the Rhicobacteria *Streptomyces chibaensis* and commercial biofertilizers on the growth, yield and nutritional value of *Vicia faba*. J. of American Science, 7 (5) 552-559.
- Ibrahim, M.E., A.E. Moftah and A.A. Gendy (1995). Growth, flowering, abscission, endogenous, hormones, yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) as affected by tebepas and zinc. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 22 (1): 79-104.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice - Hall of India Private Limited. New Delhi.
- Kandil, A. A., A.F. Sharief and A. S. A. Malmoud (2011). Reduction of flower dropping in some faba bean cultivars by growth regulators foliar application. Journal of applied Sciences Research, 7(12):1883-1889.
- Mahmoud, M.M. (2011). Manure tea and amino acids as potential economic and safe substitutes for mineral and organic fertilization and the effect on growth, yield and chemical composition of faba bean plants. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., 2 (10): 1959-1073.
- Maola, K.F. (2005). Effect of gamma radiation on some important

- morphophysiological and yield attributes in M2 mutants in lentil. MS.C. Thesis, Dept. Crop Bot,. BAU, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- Mehana, T.A. and O.A. Abdul Wahid (2000). Effect of phosphate- dissolving fungi and *Rhizobium* on Some Soil properties and response of faba bean to applied phosphorus under field conditions. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.; 15 (4):292-307.
- Mekhemar, G. A. A., M. Shaaban, A.A. Ragab and A.M.M. Biomy (2005). Response of faba bean to inoculation with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* by *viceae* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria under newly reclaimed soils. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.; 20 (4): 126-144.
- Mekky, M. S., Z. R. Yehia and A.N.M. Nassar (2003). Effect of sowing dates, varieties and glyphosate application on broomrape (*Orobanche crenata*, Forsk.) and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 54:55 76.
- Mengel, K. and E.A. Kirkby (1987). Principles of plant nutrition. International Potash Institute, Berne, Switzerland, pp. 525-535.
- Mohamed, S. A. (2000). Effect of mineral and biofertilization on growth yield, chemical constituents and anatomical structure of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) plants grown under reclaimed soil conditions. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 38(4):2039-2063.
- Mohamed, M.R. and E. El-Abbas (2005). Response of three faba bean cultivars (*Vicia faba* L.) to different nitrogen sources under P- biofertilizer and micronutrient addition. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ, 30 (12): 8277-8292.
- Mohamed, S. E. A. and S. S. S. El- Ganaini, (2003). Effect of organic, mineral and biofertilizers on growth, yield and chemical constituents as well as the anatomy of Broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) plants grown in reclaimed soil. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.; 18 (12):38-63.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). Methods of soil Analysis. Ilchemical and microbiological properties. Soil Sci., Amer., Madison Wisconsin, USA.

- Porass, M.M., Amany M. Sallam, Jacklin G. Sadek and M.A. Bayoumi (2011). Effect of some organic compounds application on faba bean (*Vicia faba*) productivity and seed quality and soil properties. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 36(2):437-455.
- Radwan, F. I and Wafaa H. Mohamed (2005). Influence of planting density, biofertilizers inoculation and superphosphate application to soil on growth and yield component of faba bean plants. J. Adv. Agric. Res., (Fac. Agric. Saba Basha) 10(1):145-164.
- Rizk, T.Y., A. A. Abdel Haleem and Iman KH.A. Mohamed (2006). Effect of organic, bio and mineral fertilization treatments on yield components and chemical contents of faba bean "Vicia faba L" Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 84 (1):153-163.
- Saad, A.O.M. and M.E. El-Kholy (2000). Response of some faba bean cultivars to

- phosphorus and magnesium fertilization. Egypt J. Agron., 22: 19-38.
- Sadek, Jacklin G. (2010). The effectiveness of nitrogen fertilizer, biofertilizer and micronutrients on yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba*). Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 35 (5): 1911-1930.
- Shams, S.A.A., A.A. El-Shewy and M.S.M. Selim (2001). Response of some faba bean varieties to plant population densities, zinc nutrition and their relations to growth and yield. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 26 (3): 1281-1300.
- Snedecor, G.W and W.G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods, 7th Ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Zaki, N. R., Amal W. Abo El- Khair and R.N. Habashy (2008). Effect of organic, inorganic N sources and *Rhizobium* inoculation on yield performance and N uptake of faba bean grown in a calcareous soil. J. Adv. Agric. Res. (Fac. Agri. Saba Basha), 13 (2): 281-292.

تأثير نظم التسميد العضوي والحيوي على صفات التزهير والتساقط والإنتاجية لبعض أصناف الفول البلدي

محمود الدسوقى ابراهيم $^{(1)}$ ، أسامه على محمد على $^{(1)}$ ، عاطف عبد العزيز رجب $^{(2)}$ ، فاطمة عبد المقصود سليمان $^{(2)}$

⁽¹⁾ قسم المحاصيل – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية – مصر

(2) المعمل المركزي للزراعة العضوية – مركز البحوث الزراعية – مصر

الملخص العربي

يعتبر تساقط الازهار والقرون أحد أهم المشاكل التي تواجه زراعة أصناف الفول البلدي لذا أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بالمزرعة البحثية لمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسرس الليان – مركز البحوث الزراعية بمصر خلال موسمي الزراعة 2010/2009، 2011/2010 بهدف دراسة تأثير نظم التسميد العضوي والحيوى على انتاج وتساقط الازهار والقرون ، الصفات المحصولية لثلاثة أصناف من الفول البلدي (جيزة 3 ، جيزة 716 ، نوبارية 1) . ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلي :

1-تفوق الصنف جيزة 716 في اعطاء أفضل قيم عدد الازهار على النبات واحتل صنف نوبارية 1 المرتبة الأخيرة ، في حين تفوق الصنف جيزة 3 عن بقية الأصناف في عدد القرون العاقدة على النبات واعطاء أقل نسبة تساقط لكل من الازهار والقرون والكلي للنبات وذلك خلال موسمي الزراعة. هذا وقد أظهر الصنف جيزة

- 3 تفوقه عن باقى الأصناف الأخرى فى عدد القرون للنبات و محصول البذور للنبات والفدان فى حين تفوق الصنف نوبارية 1 فى عدد بذور القرن ، وزن 100 بذرة ، محصول القش والبيولوجى للفدان .
- 2-تحققت أفضل نتائج عدد الازهار و القرون العاقدة على النبات وأقل نسبة تساقط لكل من الأزهار والقرون والكلى للنبات عندما سمدت النباتات بمعدل 6 طن كمبوست + حيوى تبعتها المعاملة 4 طن كمبوست + حيوى وذلك خلال موسمى الزراعة. علاوة على ذلك أدى زيادة معدل اضافة الكمبوست مقترنا بالتلقيح الحيوى الى إحداث زيادة معنوية لجميع الصفات المحصولية .
- 3-تشير نتائج التفاعل بين العوامل المختبرة (الأصناف ونظم التسميد العضوى والحيوى) إلى تأثر جميع الصفات المدروسة تأثرا معنويا بهذا التفاعل حيث أعطى الصنف جيزة 3 أعلى قيم عدد الازهار والقرون العاقدة على النبات عندما سمدت النباتات بمعدل 6 طن كمبوست + حيوى بينما تحققت أقل القيم بزراعة الصنف نوبارية 1 بدون تسميد. وعلى الجانب الآخر حقق الصنف جيزة 3 عامة أقل نسبة تساقط لكل من الأزهار والقرون عند التسميد بمعدل 4 طن كمبوست + حيوى أو بمعدل 6 طن كمبوست + حيوى. هذا وقد تفوقت نباتات الصنف جيزة 3 المسمدة بمعدل 6 طن كمبوست + حيوى في زيادة عدد القرون على النبات ومحصول البذور للنبات والفدان في حين تفوقت نباتات الصنف نوبارية 1 المسمدة بنفس المعدل السابق في زيادة عدد بذور القرن ، وزن 100 بذرة ، محصول القش والبيولوجي للفدان.
- 4-توصى الدراسة بزراعة الصنف جيزة 3 تحت معدل تسميد 6 طن كمبوست + حيوى في العام الأول ، 4 طن كمبوست + حيوى في العام الثاني وذلك للحد من تساقط الازهار والقرون علاوة على تعظيم انتاجية محصول بذور الفول البلدى .

Table (3): Flowering characters of faba bean cultivars as affected by fertilization systems and their interaction during 2009/2010 (S1) and 2010/2011 (S2) seasons.

Cultivars		ī			-	ī		1	2000	-	
Cultivars	: - (# - 1)(# - L	FIOV	Flowers	Settin	Setting pods	Flowers abscission	pscission	Setting pods	spod 6	_	Total
(\(\nabla \)	reruiizauon (B)	number/plant	r/plant	numb	number/plant	(%)	()	abscission (%)	ion (%)	abscis	abscission (%)
(X)	(a)	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Giza 3		130.06	132.23	37.05	39.27	71.38	70.13	42.08	40.58	83.34	82.19
Giza 716		135.35	140.92	32.00	34.13	76.42	75.80	50.37	49.10	88.17	87.59
Nubaria 1		101.65	102.76	25.18	27.02	75.31	73.73	47.09	46.98	86.79	85.95
	Control	115.58	121.39	27.65	30.49	76.16	74.89	52.12	50.91	88.42	87.50
	Biofertilizers	121.54	124.65	29.84	33.41	75.57	73.24	49.20	46.78	87.45	85.61
	4 ton compost	118.68	123.85	28.95	32.74	75.76	73.65	20.00	47.66	87.72	86.04
	6 ton compost	123.50	126.73	31.98	34.04	74.18	73.15	45.68	45.88	85.87	85.30
	4 ton compost +bio	126.90	127.05	34.83	34.95	72.53	72.40	41.28	41.34	83.78	83.70
	6 ton compost +bio	127.91	128.17	35.21	35.23	72.00	72.04	40.79	40.74	83.35	83.28
Giza 3	Control	120.71	125.74	33.47	36.24	72.29	71.18	48.19	44.69	85.57	84.04
	Biofertilizers	127.04	129.36	35.48	39.27	72.11	69.69	44.33	41.84	84.43	82.32
	4 ton compost	125.41	129.79	35.19	39.13	71.98	69.89	44.26	41.23	84.36	82.26
	6 ton compost	128.36	130.80	37.64	40.05	70.71	69.42	41.94	40.70	82.95	81.81
	4 ton compost +bio	131.95	131.31	39.73	39.96	69.91	99.56	37.06	38.39	81.06	81.23
	6 ton compost +bio	146.87	146.34	40.77	41.01	71.28	71.00	36.68	36.61	81.70	81.47
Giza 716	Control	128.70	137.14	27.57	30.84	78.63	77.53	55.98	54.95	90.49	89.84
	Biofertilizers	134.91	140.64	30.54	34.10	77.40	75.78	52.60	20.06	89.21	87.83
	4 ton compost	131.04	138.83	29.43	33.46	77.57	75.93	54.72	51.06	92.68	88.15
	6 ton compost	138.15	144.00	32.75	34.72	76.35	75.94	48.99	49.39	87.90	87.73
	4 ton compost +bio	142.56	145.33	35.77	35.85	74.93	75.36	45.28	44.18	86.25	86.19
	6 ton compost +bio	136.71	139.59	35.94	35.82	73.61	74.24	44.65	44.96	85.39	85.76
Nubaria 1	Control	97.32	101.30	21.89	24.40	77.58	75.94	52.19	53.08	89.20	88.63
	Biofertilizers	102.67	103.94	23.48	26.85	77.20	74.23	50.68	48.43	88.71	99.98
	4 ton compost	99.59	102.92	22.23	25.63	77.73	75.14	51.03	50.70	89.04	87.71
	6 ton compost	103.99	105.38	25.54	27.36	75.48	74.09	46.10	47.57	92.98	86.37
	4 ton compost +bio	106.18	104.49	28.98	29.06	72.74	72.23	41.51	41.43	84.04	83.70
	6 ton compost +bio	100.15	98.57	28.96	28.85	71.11	70.78	41.03	40.65	82.96	82.62
	LSD: A	2.45	2.43	0.04	0.02	0.43	0.44	0.23	0.02	0.26	0.27
	Ф	2.78	2.75	0.05	0.03	0.48	0.49	0.22	90.0	0.29	0.31
	AB	4.85	4.82	0.07	0.04	0.84	98.0	0.39	0.11	0.52	0.55

Table (4): Yield and its components of faba bean cultivars as affected by fertilization systems and their interaction during 2009/2010 (S1) and 2010/2011 (S2) seasons.

,	2003/2010 (31) alla 2010/2011 (32)	7/01/07	(40)	Scasolis											
Cultivars	Fertilization (B)	No. of po	ods /plant	No. of P	No. of seeds/ pod	100-seed weight (g.)	d weight .)	Seed yield /plant (g.)	ld /plant .)	Seed yield /fed (ton)	yield (ton)	Straw yield / fed (ton)	yield (ton)	Biological (tc	Biological yield / fed (ton)
		S1	S2	S1	SS	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
Giza 3		21.65	23.47	3.39	3.53	97.79	72.58	35.19	43.34	2.16	2.29	2.70	2.65	4.86	4.94
Giza 716		16.12	17.56	3.85	3.98	79.35	83.98	30.11	36.77	1.86	2.06	3.03	2.90	4.89	4.96
Nubaria 1		13.54	14.53	4.21	4.33	98.13	101.32	25.46	32.35	1.71	1.83	3.24	3.17	4.95	2.00
	Control	13.47	15.24	3.42	3.56	79.71	83.32	20.44	29.55	1.56	1.69	2.34	2.19	3.90	3.88
	Biofertilizers	15.38	18.05	3.90	3.75	81.38	85.48	26.98	37.83	1.80	1.98	3.05	2.68	4.85	4.66
	4 ton compost	14.73	17.45	3.55	3.82	80.60	84.40	22.81	35.76	1.66	1.79	2.51	2.38	4.17	4.17
	6 ton compost	17.52	18.69	3.82	4.01	82.16	86.50	31.42	39.24	1.92	2.27	2.69	2.94	4.61	5.21
	4 ton compost +bio	20.55	20.65	4.07	4.14	82.80	87.32	36.59	40.82	2.14	2.31	3.43	3.44	5.57	5.75
	6 ton compost +bio	20.98	21.04	4.14	4.38	83.83	87.72	43.28	41.72	2.39	2.33	3.91	3.81	6.30	6.14
Giza 3	Control	17.50	20.14	3.07	3.10	92.29	69.95	24.81	34.52	1.82	1.95	2.05	1.98	3.87	3.93
	Biofertilizers	19.84	22.95	3.42	3.30	67.46	72.05	31.62	43.80	2.13	2.26	2.70	2.45	4.83	4.71
	4 ton compost	19.68	23.11	3.19	3.43	66.62	71.71	26.83	41.09	1.89	2.07	2.26	2.13	4.15	4.20
	6 ton compost	21.95	23.86	3.41	3.60	68.09	73.10	36.53	45.11	2.16	2.43	2.42	2.68	4.58	5.11
	4 ton compost +bio	25.03	24.71	3.58	3.76	69.89	74.08	41.81	47.20	2.40	2.50	3.07	3.10	5.47	5.60
	6 ton compost +bio	25.88	26.07	3.69	4.00	69.94	74.59	49.49	48.29	2.57	2.54	3.73	3.56	6.29	6.10
Giza 716	Control	12.30	13.97	3.39	3.61	77.15	81.36	20.97	30.08	1.49	1.66	2.34	2.17	3.83	3.83
	Biofertilizers	14.62	17.17	3.92	3.79	78.97	83.43	26.58	36.66	1.70	1.98	3.11	2.63	4.80	4.60
	4 ton compost	13.47	16.51	3.54	3.84	78.01	83.44	23.05	35.43	1.60	1 75	2.55	2.41	4.15	4.16
	6 ton compost	16.76	17.76	3.81	4.05	79.90	84.42	31.96	38.44	1.87	2.32	2.72	2.93	4.59	5.25
	4 ton compost +bio	19.64	20.12	4.18	4.17	80.62	85.47	35.63	39.95	2.15	2.34	3.51	3.44	5.66	5.78
	6 ton compost +bio	19.94	19.83	4.25	4.41	81.46	85.76	42.47	40.08	2.36	2.33	3.93	3.80	6.29	6.13
Nubaria 1	Control	10.61	11.66	3.80	3.97	96.22	98.66	15.52	24.06	1.38	1.45	2.62	2.42	4.01	3.87
	Biofertilizers	11.68	14.01	4.34	4.17	97.71	100.90	22.72	33.04	1.57	1.69	3.36	2.98	4.93	4.67
	4 ton compost	11.02	12.75	3.94	4.21	97.16	101.06	18.55	30.74	1.50	1.55	2.73	2.62	4.23	4.17
	6 ton compost	13.84	14.46	4.24	4.38	98.50	101.99	25.77	34.17	1.74	2.06	2.93	3.20	4.67	5.27
	4 ton compost +bio	17.00	17.12	4.46	4.51	99.10	102.42	32.33	35.30	1.86	2.08	3.72	3.76	5.58	5.85
	6 ton compost +bio	17.12	17.23	4.50	4.74	100.10	102.81	37.86	36.79	2.23	2.13	4.10	4.08	6.33	6.21
	LSD: A	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.10	0.04	98'0	08'0	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
	В	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.04	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
	AB	0.15	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.26	0.07	0.93	1.34	90.0	0.09	0.03	60.0	0.05	0.05