



Female mutilation and its impact on reproductive health

Tyseer Marzouk

Woman's Health and Midwifery Department, Faculty of Nursing-Mansoura University, Egypt

*Corresponding author: tm_fathy@yahoo.com

Abstract

Female genital mutilation can be defined as any procedure that incorporates halfway or absolute expulsion of the female genital organs without a clinical purpose behind that. The low prevalence rate (below 0.10%) of female mutilation was observed at young ages (children), 2-92% prevalence in women matured 15 to 49 years, and 3-61% in young women matured 15 to 17 years. The explanations are liberal aids such as many advocates and experts in female genital mutilation recognize that. Not only does this procedure identify them from different ethnicities, but it also sets gender limits. Human Rights Organization (UNICEF) argues that the mutilation that women voluntarily agree to or seek of their own is "a societal practice that they force of themselves.

Keywords: Female, mutilation, reproductive health

Mutilation in religions

In northeastern Africa, female mutilation was common before the Islamic triumphs, and before the spread of Islam in those nations. While this training was related to Islam because of his commitment to the celibacy of young women and because some Muslim scholars said it is mandatory, even though the Qur'an did not refer to it¹. The Supreme Council for Islamic Studies at the University of Al-Azhar in Cairo declared that female mutilation has no basis in Islamic law or any of its auxiliary arrangements. The Bible didn't refer to female mutilation or its imposition². Health education about complications (short term and long term) is the primary nursing role that is related to lower mutilation hazards².

It has authenticity and admissibility in the sentence for everybody, and if surpassing the concurred legitimate cutoff points is prohibited. The Messenger of God said to the female slave: it is the circumcision: "Ashi and don't wear off, because it is increasingly delightful to the face, and it is better for her at the spouse." The deciphered Imam, Muhammad Mitwall Al-Shaarawi, exhorted that female mutilation is a prophetic that doesn't rebuff the person who left it, during the period that saw the incredible debate in Egypt on this issue³. Female mutilation is polished by Christian Copts in Egypt and Sudan as per social traditions and has no strict or ideological explanation⁴.

Mutilation techniques and strategies

Frequently the individual who plays out this activity is a nearby conventional circumciser, and this procedure happens in the young lady's home more often than not. For the most part, circumcision is an old woman from the nearby network (neighborhood, neighborhood, or town) who rehearsed this procedure, and regularly she was a similar maternity specialist or birthing specialist. Notwithstanding, a few social orders wherein the hairdresser replaces a doctor may depend on him to do the activity himself. In numerous pieces of the Arab world, the man who plays out this

procedure (particularly the mutilation of young men) is known as "sanitized"⁵⁻⁷.

Conventional mutilation isn't regularly utilized for disinfected apparatuses when they do this procedure. These apparatuses incorporate blades, cutting edges, scissors, sharp glass pieces, sharp shakes, and at times even fingernails. Individuals in certain nations utilize specific clinical authentications to perform mutilation, which is normal in Egypt, Kenya, Indonesia, and Sudan⁵⁻⁷.

The World Health Organization has separated the first into two subtypes: The main type incorporates expulsion of the clitoral top as it were. The second type of mutilation (extraction) incorporates the aggregate or halfway expulsion of the labia minora with or without the evacuation of the labia majora and the clitoris.⁶⁻⁸ The third type of mutilation is what is known as pharaonic mutilation or cross-section, and it is the most noticeably terrible type of mutilation and it falls inside the class of "stitching and polarization." In this example, all outer female genital organs are expelled and the injury is then sewed and sewed.⁷⁻⁹ The World Health Organization characterizes the fourth kind of female mutilation as "all other unsafe measures for female genital organs that occur without a clinical explanation, for example, burning, shivering, cut, scratching and others."⁸

Short-term and long-term complications

The most noticeable close term entanglements are expanding of the injury and polarization, over the top dying, torment, urinary maintenance, and trouble in mending the injury because of disease. Also, sickness, urinary tract disease, endometriosis, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV or AIDS.⁹⁻¹²

Concerning the drawn-out inconveniences, they vary as indicated by the type of mutilation. They incorporate the presence of scars at the site of the injury and the presence of neuromas, serious difficulty peeing, diseases and smooth pee, dyspareunia, and barrenness. Moreover, it is normal for young women and

women who are circumcised with Pharaonic to experience the ill effects of dysmenorrhea due to the deterring menstrual bloodstream¹⁰⁻¹⁴.

Pregnancy and labor

The most widely recognized intricacies in Ancient Egypt circumcised women are: Second-degree tears, harm to the butt-centric sphincter, and depending on crisis cesarean area to spare the pregnant women. Baby blues drain, the need to restore an infant after his release, mental impacts, and a chill in sexual execution. Mutilation diminished in Egypt from 97 percent in 1985 to 70 percent in 2015¹⁵⁻¹⁷.

Legitimate Legislations in Egypt

The Egyptian People's Assembly endorsed in June 2008 a law that condemns female mutilation aside from if there should arise an occurrence of need, and as needs are, the individuals who perform mutilation will be rebuffed with detainment for a period going between a quarter of a year and two years and a fine that may reach from one thousand pounds to 5,000 pounds¹⁸⁻²⁰.

References

1. UNICEF, "Fresh progress toward the elimination of female genital mutilation and cutting in Egypt, 2 July 2007 August 01, 2016, on the Wayback Machine.
2. Maggie Michael, "Female mutilation", Associated Press, 29 June 2007, p. 2. A copy saved September 20, 2017, on the Wayback Machine.
3. Samuel Waje Kunhiyop, African Christian Ethics, Zondervan, 2008, p. 297: "Nowhere in all of Scripture or any of recorded church history is there even a hint that women were to be circumcised."
4. Jocelyn Murray, "The Church Missionary Society and the 'Female Circumcision' Issue in Kenya 1929--1932," Journal of Religion in Africa, 8 (2), 1976, pp. 92-104. Gestor 1594780
5. UNICEF 2013, front page, and p. 73. Archived version 29 March 2015 on the Wayback Machine.
6. Shaye J. D. Cohen, Why Aren't Jewish Women Circumcised? Gender and Covenant In Judaism, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005, p. 59; Adele Berlin (ed.), "Circumcision," The Oxford Dictionary of the Jewish Religion, New York: Oxford University Press, 2011, p. 173. Archived May 17, 2016, on the Wayback Machine.
7. Mackie 1996, p. 1005 (also here, a copy saved September 02, 2017, on the Wayback Machine.
8. Cohen, Shaye J. D. Why Aren't Jewish Women Circumcised? Gender and Covenant In Judaism, University of California Press, 2005, p. 59. See p. 59ff for a discussion of Strabo's reference around 25 BCE to female excision and Jewish custom; he argues that Strabo conflated the Jews with the Egyptians. Also see "Circumcision," in Adele Berlin (ed.), The Oxford Dictionary of the Jewish Religion, Oxford University Press, 2011, p. 173: "Circumcision was widespread in many ancient cultures. Some of these also practiced female circumcision, which was never allowed in Judaism." Archived 17 May 2016 on the Wayback Machine. Abusharaf 2007, p. 2. Shell-Duncan and Hernlund 2000, p. 13. Also see C. G. Seligman, "Female mutilation", The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland, 1913, 40 (3) (pp. 593--705), pp. 639--646. Gestor 2843546
9. Esther K. Hicks, Infibulation: Female Mutilation in Islamic Northeastern Africa, Transaction Publishers, 1996, p. 19ff. Archived December 29, 2014, on the Wayback Machine.
10. Mary Knight, "Female mutilation?: Some Remarks on the Practice of Female and Male Circumcision in Graeco-Roman Egypt," Isis, 92 (2), June 2001, pp. 317--338. Gestor 3080 631 Adriaan de Buck and Alan H. Gardiner, The Egyptian Coffin Texts, Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1961, Vol. 7, pp. 448-450.
11. Paul F. O'Rourke, "Female mutilation, 134 (2), February 2007 (pp. 166-172), pp. 166ff (hieroglyphs), 172 (menstruating woman). DOI: 10.1524 / says.2007.134.2.166 Archived 2 May 2019 on the Wayback Machine.
12. Kenyon F. G, Greek Papyri in the British Museum, British Museum, 1893, pp. 31--32 (also here [1]). Archived 14 April 2020 on the Wayback Machine.
13. Knight 2001, p. 331, citing G. Elliot Smith, A female mutilation: 1906, p. 30, and Marc Armand Ruffer, Studies in the Paleopathology of Egypt, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1921, p. 171. Copy saved May 2, 2019, on the Wayback Machine.
14. Strabo, Geographica, Book VII, chapter 2, 17.2.5. Cohen 2005, p. 59ff, argues that Strabo conflated the Jews with the Egyptians). Archived 17 May 2016 on the Wayback Machine.
15. Isaac Baker Brown J. F. C., F.R.C.S.", Medical Times and Gazette, 8 February 1873, p. 155. Archived copy April 14, 2020, on the Wayback Machine.
16. Sarah W. Rodriguez, "Rethinking the History of Female Circumcision: American Medicine and Female Sexuality in the Late Nineteenth Century", Journal of the History of Medicine and Allied Sciences. 63 (3), July 2008, pp. 323--347. DOI: 10.1093 / has / jrm044 Pmd 18065832 Archived 14 April 2020 on the Wayback Machine.
17. Robert Thomas, The Modern Practice of Physick, London: Longman, Hurst, Rees, Orme, and Brown, 1813, pp. 585-586. Edward Shorter, Female mutilation: A History of Psychosomatic Illness in the Modern Era, New York: Simon and Schuster, 2008, p. 82. A copy saved July 05, 2014, on the Wayback Machine.
18. Shorter 2008, p. 82; Uriel Elchalal, et al., "Ritualistic Female Genital Mutilation: Current Status and Future Outlook", Obstetrical & Gynecological Survey, 52 (10), October 1997, pp. 643--651. And 9326757 A copy saved July 05, 2014, on the Wayback Machine.
19. Elchalal, et al, 1997; Peter Lewis Allen, Female mutilation, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000, p. 106. Archived 23 November 2017 on the Wayback Machine. J. F. C. 1873, p. 155; Allen 2000, p. 106. Archived January 2, 2014, on the Wayback Machine.
20. John Black, "Female genital mutilation: a contemporary issue, and a Victorian obsession", Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine.